Power Quality Disturbances: A review of Detection, Classification, Optimization, and Mitigation Techniques

Bouchra Feriel KHALDI[(1)*], Dr.F.Z DEKHANDJI[(2)],Pr.A.RECIOUI[(3)]
[(1)] Laboratory of Signals and Systems (LSS), Boumerdes, Algeria
[(2)] Laboratory of Signals and Systems (LSS), Boumerdes, Algeria
[(3)] Laboratory of Signals and Systems (LSS), Boumerdes, Algeria

*b.khaldi@univ-boumerdes.dz

Abstract: Power quality (PQ) plays an important role in ensuring the smooth operation of power systems. PQ disturbances may cause significant consequences, impacting the reliability and efficiency of power networks. This paper presents a comprehensive review of PQ within smart grids and the integration of renewable energy sources. Methods of detecting, classifying, optimizing, and mitigating PQ events are reviewed with providing a brief theoretical explanation for each technique. Related work done in the past two decades, was conducted, presenting a clear view of the development of research in a chronological order serving as a guide for future investigations in this field of study.

Keywords: Power Quality; PQ disturbances; Detection; Classification; SP techniques; Neural Networks; Mitigation; Power system; Renewable energies; smart grid

1. INTRODUCTION

The quality of power transmitted has received more attention and concern in recent years since it affects electricity producers, consumers, and grid operators. To guarantee the appropriate operation of electrical equipment and the general stability of the power grid, PQ must be monitored and maintained. Depending on one's point of view, power quality can have entirely distinct meanings. [167]. As per Naderi [2], it can be defined as the ability of the electrical grid to supply customers with dependable, perfect, and non-tolerant electricity. This is achieved by creating a perfect power supply with a pure, noise-free sinusoidal wave shape that is always stable when voltage and frequency are taken into account [3]. According to Biabani [4], another definition of this concept is the range of electrical characteristics' limitations that enable electrical systems to operate as intended without suffering significant performance or safety losses. From an alternative perspective, power quality refers to the idea of powering and grounding electronic de-vices in a way that is both compatible with the premise wiring system and other linked devices and appropriate for the equipment's intended use [162]. This paper is organized into several key sections. In Section 2, definitions, causes, and effects of power quality (PQ) problems are presented. In Section 3, the detection and classification of power quality disturbances (PQDs) are addressed. Various signal processing (SP) techniques for feature extraction are reviewed, different transforms are compared, and related re-search from its inception to the present is discussed. AI techniques for classification such as neural networks (NN), support vector machines (SVM), neuro-fuzzy systems (NFS), and Bayesian methods are explained. Additionally, different NNs are compared, with related work for each technique from the past two decades is reviewed. Section 4 focuses on optimization techniques such as genetic algorithms (GA), particle swarm optimization (PSO), and bee colony optimization (BCO). These methods and their applications to PQDs are explained, and related research is presented. Finally, different generations of mitigation techniques are summarized, and PQDs related studies are cited.

2. PQ DISTURBANCES

A Power Quality (PQ) problem can be defined as any variation of the characteristics of voltage and (or) current from the nominal frequency and sinusoidal, symmetrical conditions [1]. PQ issues are often classified into two categories:

- Issues with voltage might include harmonics, blackouts, sags, and swells.

- Issues with current are radio frequency interference, electromagnetic field,

leakagecurrent, and electromagnetic interference. [5]

PQDs are categorized as follows in figure 1.




Fig.1: Types of PQ events

1- Transients

A transient, also known as a spike, surge, power pulse, etc. [5] is an undesired brief variation in the load current or voltage supplied [1] that lasts for just a few micro-seconds. Because of the brief duration, frequency components are much greater than the nominal frequency, and voltages may reach several thousand volts [5]. Transients are generally classified into two categories, impulsive and oscillatory. [1]

1.a Impulsive transients

Impulsive transients are unidirectional (either positive or negative) high peak events that occur outside of the power frequency range and increase the voltage and/or current levels. These kinds of occurrences may be further divided into three categories based on their speed: fast, medium, and slow) [5] and their rate of change in voltage or current magnitude, or rise and fall times. Impulsive transients can occur extremely quickly (5 ns rise time from steady state to the impulse peak), last for less than 50 ns, and even at low voltages, reach thousands of volts. A positive polarity impulsive transient that appears in the positive cycle is seen in Figure 2.




Fig.2: Impulsive transient

1.b Oscillatory transients

A sudden change in the voltage, current, or both of a signal's steady-state condi-tions at the positive and negative signal limits, oscillating at the natural system fre-quency, is known as an oscillatory transient. The transient causes the power signal to alternately swell and then shrink, very rapidly. Low, medium, and high frequency transients can be distinguished from oscillatory transients based on their duration and frequency content.

2- Waveform distortion

Waveform distortion is defined by IEEE 1159-2019 as a steady-state deviation of apower frequency sine wave from an ideal one, primarily characterized by the deviation's spectral content [5]. Waveform distortions include harmonics, noise, and DC offset.

2.a DC offset A dc offset occurs when a dc voltage or current is present in an ac power system.This can be caused on by an imbalance in electrical power converters or a geomagnetic disturbance. [5]

2.b Harmonics and Interharmonics Per the definition given by the IEEE guidelines on electrical power systems har-monic control, Harmonics are sinusoidal components of a periodical quantity whose frequency components are integer multiples of the periodical quantity's fundamental frequency; in contrast, interharmonics are non-periodic and have frequency compo-nents that are non- integer multiples of the fundamental frequency. Harmonics cause distortion and deviation from sinusoidal waveforms in voltage and current in electrical systems [5].

2.c Noise is any undesired and external information that interferes with the trans-mission signal. It is not a component of the original signal and is caused by ignition

systems, radio frequency interference, and electromagnetic interference. [5] Figure 3 shows a noisy signal.

3- Voltage disturbances Having any disturbance in voltage can lead to poor power quality, there are different types of disturbances related to voltage, in the below sections these disturbances are explained [5].

3.a Flicker

The term "flicker" describes the rapid and continuous changes in voltage caused by variations in load current magnitude. These fluctuations affect lamps, creating a perceived flickering effect to the human eye. Arc furnaces




Fig.3: Noise signal

3.b Voltage Fluctuations

There are two types of voltage fluctuations: long-duration and short-duration changes.

1- Long duration Voltage fluctuations

Root-mean-square (rms) deviations at power frequencies for periods longer than oneminute are included in long-duration fluctuations. Table 1 below enumerates the variousforms of long duration voltage variation:

- **Overvoltage** is a rise in the RMS ac voltage that lasts longer than one minute and is more than 110% at the power frequency [5]. Causes may include the shutdown of a significant load, the charging of capacitor banks, or improper transformer tap config-uration. Figure 5 shows an overvoltage.

Table 1. Long duration voltage variation [5]

Type	Duration	Voltage magnitude
Undervoltage	>1 min	0.8 - 0.9 pu
Overvoltage	>1 min	1.1 - 1.2 pu
Sustained interruption	>1 min	0.0 pu
Current overload	>1 min	




Fig.5. Overvoltage [5]

- **Undervoltage** is a drop in the RMS AC voltage of less than 90% at the power frequency that lasts more than a minute. Causes: Overloaded circuits; a load turning on; a capacitor bank turning off. An undervoltage signal is shown in figure 6.




Fig.6: Undervoltage

- **Sustained interruptions** is defined as the absence of supply voltage for one minute or more. These disruptions are frequently irreversible, and fixing the

system will need human assistance [5]. This disturbance is depicted in figure 7.




Figure 7. Sustained interruptions [1]

2- Short duration Voltage variations

IEEE 1159-2019 defines short duration RMS fluctuations as variations in RMS voltage that last from 0.5 cycles to less than or equal to one minute. Three catego-ries—immediate, momentary, and temporary—can be used to categorize short-duration voltage variations based on how long they lasted as summarized in table 2.

- Sag: as shown in figure 8, it is a drop in RMS voltage that lasts from half of a main cycle to less than a minute. It typically occurs at a rate of 0.1 to 0.9 per unit. Also referred




Fig.8: Sag signal

- **Swell**: is the RMS voltage rising between 1.1 and 1.8 p.u. during a period longer than half of a main cycle yet shorter than one minute. caused by load switching, capac-itor switching, and system errors [5]. Figure 9 depicts this phenomenon.




Fig.9: Swell Signal

- **Short Interruption:** If the load current or supply voltage drops to less than 0.1 p.u. for less than a minute, it is referred to as an interruption. System faults, failures of equipments, or problems with control and protection might all be the reason.

3.c Voltage Imbalance occurs when there are differences in magnitude or phase among the phases in a three-phase power supply, as shown in figure 10. This is often seen in rural areas, where some phases may carry heavier loads. It's measured as a percentage relative to the positive phase sequence.




Fig.10: Three phase imbalance

4- Power frequency variation

Variations in Power frequency are deviations from the standard frequency (e.g., 50 or 60 Hz) in a power system. The speed of this system's generators determines its fre-quency. Changes in frequency occur as electricity demand and generation levels change, depending on how much electricity is needed and how quickly the system can meet that demand. They occur due to changes in the balance between load and generation and can result from faults in the transmission system, disconnection of large loads, or the shutdown of major generation sources. While rare in modern interconnected systems, isolated generators supplying specific loads are more prone to significant frequency shifts.

• Sources and Effects of PQ disturbances

In table 3, power quality issues sources and effects are presented.

3. PQ disturbances Detection and Classification

It is essential to diagnose the PQ Disturbances according to international

stand-ards, and suitable preventive techniques should be implemented. In this regard, fea-tures extraction of power quality disturbances using methods of power quality analysis and their classification, are the essential tasks of PQ monitoring systems. in order to achieve au- tomatic disturbance recognition and understanding the cause-effect relation to improve the power quality. [7]. The procedure involved in PQ monitoring with RE sources is as illustrated in Figure 11. Power quality disturbances are originated at the point of intercon- nection, where the conventional generator and renewable energy sources are integrated with distribution loads. Disturbance detection and classification stages are the main components of PQ recognition methods, and RE sources signals need to be considered for adopting changes associated with the output of RE sources. For this regard, the power quality monitoring process involved different stages as shown in figure 11. [8]

a) The phase of pre-processing: In this phase normalized PQ disturbances are took as inputs where a threshold is chosen for precise detection, and features are collected using signal processing (SP) techniques to identify the events. Additionally, these characteristics are used for optimization, the resulting features will be chosen for clas-sification. [8]

b) The phase of classification: Here PQ disturbances are categorized using AI classifiers [8]

c) The phase of mitigation: the classified disturbances are later mitigated using appropriate techniques like DFACT devices which play a significant role in the field of real-time PQ mitigation. [8]




Fig.11: Process to monitor power quality using renewable energy sources [8]

3.1. Signal Processing Technique for Feature Extraction

The RMS technique, although widely used in power quality analysis, has notable limitations. While it effectively identifies and characterizes voltage events based on the RMS magnitude of the voltage supply, it lacks the capability to determine the phase angle or the precise location on the waveform where the event originates. Consequently, this method may struggle with accurate voltage event detection and duration estima-tion. To overcome these shortcomings, adopting the appropriate PQD characteristics becomes essential in refining the detection process. Feature extraction involves transforming a signal to facilitate information extraction. This can be achieved by directly extracting features from the initial measurement across various domains, including Fourier, wavelet, STFT, HHT, GT, and S-transform, or by utilizing parameters from signal models such as the Kalman filter (KF) and auto-regressive (AR) models [9]. The categorization of various detection techniques is illustrated in Figure 12.




Fig.12: Classification of detecting methods based on signal processing [8]

1) Fourier Transforms

The Fourier Transform (FT) is a commonly used signal processing method that breaks down complex waveforms into sinusoidal components of various frequencies. This helps with spectrum analysis by converting time-domain signals into their fre-quency-domain representations. While effective in identifying specific frequency ele-ments within stationary signals, it may encounter difficulties with short-term transient issues. This method is applicable to both continuous and discrete signal types. FT uses a basis of sines and cosines of various frequencies to calculate the relative amounts of each frequency in the signal

- **Fast Fourier Transform**

The development of the fast Fourier Transform (FFT) aimed to lessen the DFT's com- puting load. The computation of the Fourier coefficients can be accelerated when the waveform's period N is an integer power of two. N log(N) becomes the number of operations instead of N2/2 [10]. Utilizing this method, one may obtain a reasonable es- timate of the fundamental amplitude and its harmonics. [9]

• Short Time Fourier Transform

Information of disturbance signals is provided in the frequency domain and time domain (start time, stop time, rise time, duration) using the Short-Time Fourier Trans-form (STFT). In general, these signals are non- stationary and their properties (ampli-tude, frequency, and phase) are not constant in time.

2) Wavelet Transform

As a feature extraction method, the Wavelet Transform (WT) was developed to address the drawbacks of the short-term Fourier transform. It is used to detect power quality problems. [9] Three methods, referred to as the wavelet series, continuous WT, and discrete WT, may be used to perform WT. The wavelet series map a continuous variable function into a list of coefficients. [10]

3)Stockwell transform

The S-transform is a time-frequency analysis method that uses a Gaussian window to convert a signal from the time domain to the time-frequency domain. It was intro-duced by Stockwell and his colleagues in 1996 as a modification of the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) to address its limitations in analyzing non-stationary signals. The S-transform offers higher resolution in both time and frequency domains. [12] The ST can be considered also as an expanded form of continuous WT (CWT). Frequen-cy-dependent resolution of ST has a direct association with the Fourier spectrum. The signal information can be obtained from its phase and amplitude spectrum of a signal. To use the information present in the phase of CWT, it is essential for a phase correction in terms of modifying the phase of the mother wavelet. [13]

4) Hilbert–Huang transform

In 1998, Dr. Huang presented a new signal processing technique called the Hilbert Huang Transform (HHT). For the time-domain analysis of non-linear and non-stationary PQD signals, HHT is among the best SP-based methods available. [8] This method often combines two techniques, such Hilbert transform and empirical mode decomposition. Using EMD, the input signal is broken down into a number of small components known as intrinsic mode functions (IMFs). The HT is then applied to the IMFs in order to extract several properties pertaining to the signal's phase, ampli-tude, and frequency. [14] The Hilbert spectrum analysis can be used to express the original data. It is used to compute the instantaneous frequency of the signal and rep-resented as the real part in the following form. [8]

5) Gabor transform

Dennis Gabor proposed a special case of STFT, formulates into the Gabor transform (GT). This transform is beneficial as the phase content of the local divisions and sinus-oidal frequency of a signal. [8]. The GT is an innovative SP tool employed for perfect phasor estimation. Compared with Fourier transform, the GT offers better time and frequency info of a studied signal. It maps the time series data into both time and fre-quency domains. [14].

3.1.1. Comparison between different Transforms in detecting PQDs

The comparison is summarized in table 4.

3.1.2. PQDs Detection using transforms related work

• FT, DFT, FFT and STFT

In the literature, numerous articles and papers explore the detection of power quality disturbances, employing diverse transforms for analysis. For FT and DFT, few papers were found due to their limitations. FFT, an enhanced version of DFT that pro-vides equivalent results in less time [15], is commonly employed in hybrid techniques. In [17], authors proposed a windowed fast Fourier transform for PQDs detection. This technique offers the advantage of rapid evaluation by widening the window in the ab-sence of disturbances, and 2narrowing it when an issue arises to focus on the detected disturbance. In [20] an integrated approach combining DWT and FFT is proposed, where the DWT is used fordetecting and FFT for classification. In [18], a 2-Stage modified FFT algorithm was in-troduced to detect harmonics and inter-harmonics, addressing the interference

between the two. However, this method has drawbacks, including slow detection, and requires further improvement.In [19] a real time PQ analyzer was presented, for the spectral analysis of the signals FFT was used, where harmonics and inter-harmonics components were calculated in-dividually. Due to the limitations of FFT, STFT was introduced to overcome these issues, enabling the acquisition of both frequency and phase information from signals. In [21] a method using STFT applied to the voltage signal in real time at the microgrid's common coupling point, the system demonstrated good identification of events including abrupt frequency changes and under- or overvoltage. In [22] STFT was used for detection of disturbances, the energy and THD of each signal were fed to the bayesian classifier, The results, while promising, it is recommended to test the system under noisy conditions for a better evaluation.

• WT

Another type of transform that overcomes the limitation of STFT is the Wavelet 312

transform, the STFT cannot provide simultaneous time and frequency localization, while wavelets are scaled in both time and frequency, allowing for better localization in both domains. In [163] the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) is used to detect voltage disturbances in power systems by decomposing signals into levels, providing detail coefficients, then the type of disturbance is identified through the approximation signal. In [23] DWT was combined with a Kalman filter. DWT assisted in extracting the noise's covariance, and the KF was then utilized to estimate the waveform's amplitude and slope. These parameters were then fed into a fuzzy expert system to classify PQDs. The test results demonstrate the excellent accuracy and short computation time. In [24] authors used WT and SVM, the latter is trained using the extracted features by WT, the system confirms the usefulness of WT against noise. In [25] DWT is used for feature extraction that are used for training the computational intelligent based classifiers: MLP,NB, NN and SVM, then their comparison is done. [26] This study presents a novel approach employing SVM with a RS ensemble classifier to distinguish various PQEs in a PV connected Microgrid model. The MG model is subjected to different PQEs in both on-grid and off-grid modes, and features are extracted through DWT analysis. [165] this paper uses DWT, Fast Hilbert Transform, and AI tools (ANN SVM) to effectively detect and classify PQ events demonstrated by LABVIEW simulations.

• ST

The ST provides an excellent time-frequency resolution and high tolerance to noise, in other words it combines the strengths of both STFT and WT. In [27] an S-transform-based real-time PQ monitoring system is proposed. [28] utilized a modified S-Transform with a second-order Gaussian window, statistical features are extracted and fed into a SVM, which is optimized using the Whale Optimization Algorithm. [29] presents an approach that makes use of the computationally effective S-Transform (ST) to identify PQ issues and their causes. Studies combining ST with Decision trees (DT) only in [30], [31] and DT with HHT in [32] are presented. [33] presents an approach using ST and a random forest classifier. For [34] an improved gray wolf optimiza-tion-based kernel extreme learning machine is used with ST for real-time detection and classification of PQDs. [35] examines three Stockwell transform-based methods for an-alyzing PQ events: the discrete orthonormal ST (DOST), discrete cosine ST (DCST), and discrete cosine transform (DCT). Results highlight DOST's efficiency and lower sample requirements.

• HHT

[36] examines PQ issues in smart grids, employing the HHT to analyze power signal disturbances. The advantages of HHT are discussed, emphasizing its adaptability to data and high time-frequency resolution. Several studies combine HHT with hybrid techniques, such as neural networks (FFNN) and neuro-fuzzy systems, and Fuzzy-Decision trees as seen in [37], [38], and [39], respectively. Additionally, newer methods like Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), as presented in [40], have been ex-plored. Other researchfocuses on optimizing HHT using techniques like genetic algorithms (GAs) and particlswarm optimization (PSO), as demonstrated in [41]. [42] introduces a modified version ofHHT, incorporating Reduced-Sample Empirical Mode Decomposition (RSEMD) for signal segmentation along with a novel class-specific weighted random vector func-tional link network (CSWRVFLN) classifier.

• GT

Regarding the Gabor transform, [43] combines GT with a Probabilistic Neural Network (PNN) model to create a PQDs pattern recognition system. The experiment demonstrates the GT's robustness in noisy environments and strong time-frequency resolution with low computing burden. A method for utilizing DGT and SVM in re-al-time PQE detection and classification is presented in [44]. [45] evaluates PQ analysis methods, the study compares STFT and GT with varying window lengths. When com-pared to STFT, GT performs better, attaining more accuracy and using less memory.

6) Kalman filter

The Kalman Filter (KF) was defined by GF Welch and G Bishop. [14] KF is a collection of mathematical formulas that provides a useful computational method for determining a process's state in a way that minimizes the mean of the squared error. [8] It is often used to estimate the noisy harmonic signal's frequency, phase angle, and amplitude.[14] This filter stores the data from previous states and uses it to compute the states that follow

• KF Related work:

The research is focused on hybridizing KF with: DSP, AI and optimization tech-niques for the process of detection and classification of PQ events. In [46] a hybrid ap-proach is presented, combining an unscented Kalman filter (UKF) with the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm to track PQD signals. This method addresses challenges in low signal-to-noise ratio scenarios, resulting in improved noise rejection and accuracy in tracking non-stationary sinusoidal signals. [47] employs KF for detec-tion and wavelets with Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) for classification. Features ex-tracted by KF serve as inputs for training a fuzzy expert system, a Multi-layer Percep-tron (MLP) neural network, and an Adaptive Neural Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) in [48], [49], and [50] respectively. In [51], ANFIS is utilized for identification alongside discrete Packet Wavelet Transform combined with KF for detection. In [52], KF is uti-lized to calculate (iTHD) and energy that help. decision rules identify and classify PQ events. [53] combines Kalman Filter (KF) and Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) and demonstrates superior performance in simulation compared to KF-Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN). [54] proposed a lightweight convolutional neural network, integrating maximum likelihood Kalman filter and continuous wavelet transform.

7) Mathematical morphology

George Matheson and Jean Serra developed the mathematical morphology (MM) technique in 1964. Owing to its lowest computing time and high detection efficiency, MMs are the subject of much studies. [8] With MM filter technology [55] , white and impulse noises can be effectively filtered. MM is a nonlinear SP tool by definition, thus it changes a signal's shape. The set theory and integral geometry serve as the foundation for this method. In contrast to FT or WT, which extract frequency information from signals, MM primarily works in the time domain [14] it uses the structuring element (SE), a useful function that extracts unique aspects around each sample in the signal by sliding through it as a moving window. According to the needs and objectives of the specific application, the size and form of SE should be chosen since they play a major role in this kind of study. Flat SE is most appropriate for power system applications.

• MM Related Work

In [56], an MM filter is designed to filter out impulses and random white noises in PQ disturbance signals. Then, the filtered results are subjected to a complex wavelet to detect and locate the start and end times of PQ events. [57] combines MM and HHT for PQDs detection and analysis. EMD is used to recover the intrinsic mode function (IMF) components from the denoised signal after the improved MM filter suppresses noise. For every IMF component, the instantaneous amplitude and frequency can be calculated by applying the Hilbert transform afterwards. The use of MM for the real-time recog-nition of power system disturbances is studied in [58]. Highlighting the use of numer-ical optimization, specifically the Closing-Opening-Difference-Operation (CODO) op-eration, to find an optimal structuring element for detecting power system faults. [59] proposes a morphological max-lifting scheme for the recognition and classi-fication of low-frequency power disturbances. The waveform features are extracted utilizing MM for noise removal and max-lifting for information preservation. In [60] an approach to PQDs detection is presented, incorporating

morphology singular entropy (MSE) that integrates mathematical morphology (MM), singular value decomposition (SVD), and entropy theory. [61] utilizes (MM) and the Teager energy operator (TEO) for feature extraction, forming a feature vector. A probabilistic neural network (PNN) is then employed for classification of PQDs. [62] proposes a methodology that employs Decision Tree (DT) using an MM filter for signal features extraction.

3.2. Artificial Intelligence Techniques for PQDs Classification

3.2.1. Classifiers used in PQD classification

Artificial intelligent classifiers are typically employed for automated categorization

and/or decision-making tasks, which make them suitable for the classification of PQDs [14]. Each event is characterized by a collection of unique properties or parame-ters that these classifiers utilize, and these features have to be pertinent to the object that has to be categorized. There are several published methods for classifying PQ disturb-ances using both supervised and unsupervised classification approaches. The pre-trained data set is the basis for supervised learning, which uses it to categorize ob-jects [8]. It may be divided into: a) Regression where the output is continuous, like: Linear regression, Decision Tree, Random forests and Neural Networks. and b) Classi-fication, the output is discrete like in: Logistic Regression, SVM, Naive Bayes, Decision Tree, Random forests and Neural Networks. In contrast, there are two categories for unsupervised learning: a) dimension reduction and c) clustering. But with the latter, such as K-means, optics, hierarchical clustering, etc., training is not required [8]. Figure 13 depicts the different AI techniques.




Fig.13: Different AI techniques [8]

- **Artificial neural networks (ANN) principle**

Neural networks are computational models inspired by the structure and function of the human brain, consisting of interconnected nodes, or neurons, organized in layers. They process input data through a series of weighted connections and activation func-tions, learning from examples to perform tasks such as pattern recognition, classification, and regression. Figure 14 displays the block diagram illustrating the neuron model [63].




Fig.14: Neuron Model [63]

The neural networks principal types are: MLP, MLFNN, RBF and RNN. Their respective diagrams are found in figure 15.




Fig.15: a) MLFFNN, b) MLP, c) RNN, d) RBF [63]

• Comparison between different ANN techniques :

This section is summarized in table 5.

- **Classification of PQDs using NNs related work**

In literature, there is a notable focus on the PQDs classification using NNs due to their advantages. Used in diverse applications, including pattern recognition, classification, function approximation, optimization, and data clustering. Several variants of ANN find application in the classification of events within power systems. DWT is used as feature extraction tool of PQDs in both [64]and [65], combined with NN-FL and with Feed Forward ANN respectively. [66] and [67] employ a real-time NN system for classifying electrical disturbances in PQ monitoring, the latter combine it with different transforms: DFT, FFT, STFT and DWT, then a comparative study is made. [68], [69] combine ST and NN for recognizing PQDs. In [70] the integration of DMWT with a BPANN model is proposed. In [71] ANN is developed for detecting the voltage dips. [72] proposes hybridizingDT-Complex WT for feature extraction with ANN for categorization. [73] presents a real-time PQ assessment system using a conjugate gradient BPANN. [74] studies WPT and ANN for automatic identification and classification of PQ issues in power systems. [75] describes an FPGA-based smart sensor to detect and quantify PQDs in electrical installations with ANN employed for classification. Convolutional neural network CNN gained a noticeable interest from researchers the last few years, found in [76], others used other variants of CNN like Multi Fusion CNN (MFCNN) in [77] that uses frequency information as extra inputs and ensemble CNN (ECNN) in [78] where the objective was to incorporate features from different angles. Hybrid methods utilizing this type of neural network with GRU was found in [79] and [80]. In [81] a novel approach using CNN with an attention model to classify PQDs is discussed. Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are another kind of NN that are well-known for their capacity to handle and learn from sequential input. However, in order to improve RNN capabilities by resolving the vanishing gradient issue, long short-term memory (LSTM) networks were created [164]. A recent study on LSTM can be found in [164], where LSTM-based method is applied to identify and categorize different PQDs, exhibiting good accuracy even in noisy environments.

- **Support vector machine (SVM) principle**

Vapnik made the first presentation of SVM. This supervised machine learning technique [14] is used to categorize patterns of incoming data into previously deter-mined classes. [82] It is often used to the concept of statistical learning. Building intelligent machines, forecasting, fault classification in power systems, dependency estimation, and forecasting are just a few of the fields in which SVM is successfully applied. The key benefit of Support Vector Machines (SVM) over other traditional methods in big classification tasks is its ability to handle a large dimensional input vector effectively. Furthermore, as compared to conventional classifiers, it exhibits better generalization characteristics. SVM was primarily created for binary classification, with just two classes—value 1 and −1—in its architecture. However, it is imperative to categorize events with more than two classifications in a real-time setting. For the multiclass classification, two distinct methods—one against all (OAA) and one against one (OAO)—are often taken into consideration [14].To train SVMs, a variety of kernel types can be employed. Linear, polynomial, and Gaussian radial basis functions (RBF) are the most often utilized kernel functions in power system applications. Figure 16 represents SVM classification principle.




Fig.16 : SVM Principle [82]

- **Classification of PQDs using SVM Related Work**

In Research, Support vector machine classifiers outperform conventional ones when it comes to PQ disturbance classification according to [8]. An SVM's ability to classify data accurately is influenced by the training set, kernel parameters, and feature choice. Many academics preferred

SVM because of its ability to address problems related to pattern recognition and categorization. [83] presents an SVM classifier with WT for extraction of the features. [84] combines wavelet packet energy and multiclass SVM (MSVM) outperforming ANN classifiers. [85] employs two discrete WT with different wavelet filters for feature extraction and SVM for classification of PQDs. [86] suggests a method for PQD identification and classification using Independent Component Analysis (ICA) and SVM. [87] considers variations in load, wind speed, and solar insolation, employing statistical features extracted through Stand utilizes modular probabilistic neural networks (MPNN), SVMs, and least square support vector machines (LS-SVMs) for classification. [88] addresses disturbances related to load changes and environmental factors, utilizing hyperbolic S-transform and a genetic algorithm for optimal feature selection and employs SVMs and decision tree (DT) classifiers for classification. [89] presents Variational Mode Decomposition (VMD) and Empirical Wavelet Transform (EWT) with SVM, [90] uses Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD and Rank Wavelet Support Vector Machine (Rank-WSVM). EEMD is employed. [91] combines double-resolution ST (DRST) for efficient feature extraction with directed acyclic graph support vector machines (DAG-SVMs) for predicting disturbance classes. [92] introduces a method for multiclass PQ events classification, utilizing SVM with Error-Correcting Output Codes (ECOC-SVM) and DWT. [93] presents a two-stage process involving feature extraction with dq Transform, WPT, and ST and classification is performed using a binary tree Support Vector Machine (BT-SVM) and a dynamic event tree. [94] introduces an ST-based SVM classifier for PQD classification. employs the ST for precise identification of PQ issues and utilizes SVM for effective classification.

- **Neuro-fuzzy system (NFS)**

In 1993, Jang proposed the Neuro-fuzzy systems, that combine the principles of neural networks and fuzzy logic to create intelligent systems capable of learning from data and making decisions based on uncertain or incomplete information. They use fuzzy logic to handle uncertainty and imprecision in data and neural networks to learn from patterns and adapt to new information. Neuro-fuzzy systems are particularly useful for tasks such as classification, prediction, and control, where traditional methods may struggle with complex or uncertain data [97]. Three types can be distinguished by [98] regarding the Neuro-Fuzzy system.

• Cooperative Neuro-Fuzzy System

As illustrated in figure 17, the NN uses training data to identify the fuzzy system's sub-blocks. Then, the neural networks are eliminated, leaving only the fuzzy system to operate. The fact that the structure of cooperative neuro-fuzzy systems cannot be fully interpreted is a drawback




Fig.17: Cooperative NFS [98]

• Concurrent Neuro-Fuzzy System

Neural networks and fuzzy systems work simultaneously in this type of systems. The NN processes the outcomes of the concurrent system after the preprocessing of the inputs that enter the fuzzy system is done, or vice versa. One drawback of this system is that their results are not entirely interpretable. Figure 18 represents a diagram of con-current NFS.




Fig.18: Concurrent NFS [98]

• Hybrid Neuro-Fuzzy System

In this type, a neural network (NN) is used to iteratively learn some fuzzy system parameters, such as fuzzy set parameters, fuzzy rule parameters, and rule weights. While there are other approaches to creating hybrid neuro-fuzzy systems, the FALCON, ANFIS, GARIC, NEFCON, and EFuNN Architectures are the five most often used neuro-fuzzy architectures.

- **Classification of PQDs using Neuro-fuzzy system Related Work**

Neuro-fuzzy logic has been used to classify PQ events from the beginning of re-search on this topic. [96] and [99] present an automatic PQD recognition system for power systems using WT and Neuro-fuzzy logic. Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference (ANFIS) classification technique is widely used with different SP techniques for feature extraction, found in [100], [101], [102], [103] and [38]. In [104] a neuro-fuzzy classifier is combined with an automatic four-step algorithm to classify the PQDs. In [105] Different classifiers: SVM, ANN, and ANFIS classification methods are combined with EWT and DWT methods to estimate PQEs. The disturbances. [51] employs DPWT-Kalman filter with Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy approach for identification and classification of PQ events.

4. Optimization techniques for optimal features selection

The use of methods of optimization is essential for improving the efficiency of detection and classification of PQ events. These methods are mostly used in the categorization process, optimizing the features, reducing mistakes, and boosting overall accuracy by fine-tuning the parameters of machine learning algorithms, such SVM or NNs. The most effective methods for classifying PQDs in the utility network are GA, PSO, ACO, and BCO. [R3] Reliable and effective systems for PQD identification and classification development is possible because of these techniques

4.1. Genetic algorithm (GA) optimization technique

GA is an optimization problem-solving meta-heuristic algorithm [106], character-ized for the search tasks by imitating genetic behavior and the natural world [107]. The goal of this iterative process is maintaining a population of structures that could potentially solveparticular domain challenges. Using particular genetic operators like reproduction, crossover, and mutation [106], a new population of candidate solutions is created during each temporal increment (generation) based on evaluating the effectiveness of structures in the current population as domain solutions. The population approaches the optimal solution with each increment [R3].

Figure 19 depicts the GA's operational concept. Table 6 summarizes the main GA application steps and their possible strategies. [108]




Fig.19:Working Principle of GA, dashed area is the main method [108]

Table 6: . GA application steps and their possible strategies [108]

GA Steps	Role and Strategies
Initialization	Configuring various parameters, such as population size, maximum evolutionary generation, crossover probability, and mutation probability.
Selection	Choosing individuals to breed a new generation from the current population. A variety of selection techniques are available, such as the roulette wheel, tournament, ranking, generator, truncation selections, etc.
Crossover	To create two new children, two chosen parents are switched.
Mutation	Changes one or more gene values in a parent individual to preserve genetic variability.
Termination	Finishing the search by using various strategies, such as stopping after a certain number of generations, stopping when the solution satisfies the predetermined minimum requirement, or when the process reaches an end point and and no more improvements can be achieved.

• GA Technique for PQDs related work

In [109] the WPT and Fuzzy k-Nearest Neighbor (FkNN) classifier is used with genetic algorithm for feature selection. [110] employs GA for feature selection and smoothing parameter optimization in a PNN classifier for classifying PQ events. [107] introduces a one-step methodology using micro-genetic algorithms for direct identifi-cation and classification of electrical events. [111] introduces non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm II (NSGA-II) for multi-objective feature selection in classifying PQDs. It aims to minimize classification error and the number of features simultaneously for improved accuracy and computation time. [112] proposes a fuzzy classifier with GA-based methodology for detecting and classifying transient PQDs. It optimally suppresses the fundamental frequency component, allowing better identification of anomalies. [113] uses ST to extract waveform features, forming input vectors for ma-chine learning methods (K-NN, DT, SVM). Genetic algorithm (GA) and competitive swarm optimization algorithm (CSO) optimize the procedure. [114] introduces a hy-brid approach using non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm III (NSGA III) and di-rected acyclic graph support vector machine (DAG-SVM) for recognizing and classify-ing PQDs.

4.2. Particle swarm (PSO) optimization technique

For solving optimization issues, one popular population-based metaheuristic ap-proach is particle swarm optimization (PSO). It imitates how birds in a flock interact with one another in order to find food [115]. The process begins with a first group of particles in a multi-dimensional search space. It is evident that certain particles are in better positions than others. The particles move around in this region until they come into contact with the algorithm's stop criterion. This criterion reduces the amount of distinct representations in the algorithm or moves toward an ideal state [116]. Every iteration updates the velocity and location of every member in the population.

• PSO Technique for PQDs related work

In the subject of identifying and classifying PQDs, we find [117] that proposes a generalized ST and Fuzzy C-means clustering, the algorithm is refined using particle swarm optimization (PSO). In [118] relevant features are collected from the disturbances through FT and WT. A fuzzy system is employed for classification, and PSO optimizes the parameters of the membership functions for improved accuracy. In [119] features of PQDs signals are extracted using wavelet multiresolution analysis (MRA) and Mul-ti-class SVM is employed for classification, PSO optimizes parameters for improved performance. [120] combines fuzzy logic (FL) and RBFNN with features being ex-tracted through wavelet analysis, PSO is employed to enhance the classification accu-racy of FL. In [121] a rule-based DT classifier is designed and PSO is used for feature extraction. [122] proposes a discrete-valued PSO with continuous-valued PSO, opti-mizes input feature subset selection and the number of hidden nodes in ELM. [123] introduces a stacked auto-encoder with PSO algorithm being utilized to enhance the classification process. [124] combines WT and PSO-SVM for detection and classifica-tion of PQ events. [125] proposes a hybridapproach, combining GA and PSO, to automatically detect a wide range of PQDs in voltage or current signals. [126] presents a Hybrid Kernel Function SVM (HSVM) for enhancing the classification accuracy of multiple PQDs. The optimization is achieved through PSO algorithm. [127] employs an enhanced one-against-one SVM algorithm to classify multiple PQDs. Addressing parameter selection challenges, an improved PSO algorithm is introduced for optimal parameters selection. [128] uses EWT and a MLP-ELM with PSO. In [129] a novel algorithm, "adaptive ABC-PSO is used with DWT for feature extraction and a PNN as the classifier. [130] utilizes RBFNN trained by PSO, contrasting with the commonly used Back Propagation (BP) algorithm.

4.3. Ant colony optimization technique

ACO is based on the concept of pheromone trail deposition to determine the best routes; it is inspired by the foraging behavior of real ants. They deposit pheromones while traveling to a food source, and upon returning, they follow the same path, rein-forcing the pheromone trail. ACO mimics this behavior, where shorter paths accumu-late pheromones faster due to the quicker return of ants. The algorithm involves artifi-cial ants (representing data packets) building solutions to an optimization problem and communicating information about solution quality through pheromone deposits. Paths with frequent pheromone deposits are maintained, guiding new ants to follow

shorter, well-marked paths. ACO, formalized as a metaheuristic by Dorigo, addresses combi-natorial optimization problems by leveraging collective intelligence and information exchange among artificial ants [131].

• ACO Technique for PQDs related work

[132] uses a fuzzy C-means algorithm for clustering and generates a decision tree then a hybrid ACO technique is applied for enhancement of classification. [133] intro-duces an ACO model for optimal feature selection, emphasizing minimized feature set size and classification error product. Utilizing S-T and TT- transform and three classi-fiers: decision tree, k-nearest neighbor, and support vector machine. [134] addresses the prediction of PQDs using machine learning techniques, utilizing ACO for feature se-lection and employs five ML classifiers: k-NN, ANNs, DT, logistic regression, and naïve bayes. In [135] a novel method based on ACO is presented called MLACO, for selecting multi-label relevance–redundancy features. In order to find promising characteristics with minimal redundancy (unsupervised) and high relevance with class labels (super-vised), this method uses both supervised and unsupervised heuristic functions.

4.4. Bee colony optimization technique

The Bee Colony (BCO) algorithm is a meta-heuristic method used to solve optimization problems. [136] Inspired by the behavior of honey bees in finding nectar, BCO effectively explores solution spaces to find high-quality solutions. It organizes bees into three groups: employed bees, onlooker bees, and scout bees. These groups collaborate to discover the best solutions by sharing information about potential sources. Employed bees initially search for the best solution and share this information with others, leading to an iterative process that continues until the optimal solution is found. [129]

• BCO Technique for PQDs related work

When it comes to the PQ events identification and classification, BCO technique is found in [137], where the use of DWT and BCO is for feature extraction and selection respectively, and PNN for classification. [138] proposes a hybrid approach using a modified discrete BCO algorithm for feature selection and parameter optimization of the random forest in PQD signal analysis. [129] As mentioned before, this work uses "adaptive BCO-PSO," for feature selection in PQD classification .In [139] DWT is used for signal decomposition, and PNN with the adaptive Arrhenius artificial bee colony method to accomplish an optimum feature selection for the classification.[140] proposes optimizing a kernel extreme learning machine (KELM) classifier using a BCO algorithm, employing WT for feature extraction and addressing the computational complexity of traditional methods like back-propagation neural networks.

5. Mitigation techniques for PQDs

Over the past quarter-century various methods have been created to address power quality issues [1]. In research, these are sometimes termed mitigation techniques or power quality improvement (PQI) techniques. Regardless of the terminology, the goal of these methods is to lessen the impact of PQ problems and enhance the efficiency and reliability of the power system. By utilizing lossless passive components like capacitors and reactors, several PQ issues, such as low power factor brought on by reactive power requirements, may be lessened. Furthermore, UPQCs, DVRs, and other custom power devices (CPDs) are frequently employed to mitigate voltage, current, or both kinds of PQ problems. The application of power filters, both passive and active, is vital for compensating nonlinear loads and voltage-related issues and for mitigating harmonics and other issues. Furthermore, modern equipment incorporates PFC, multi-pulse and matrix converters, contributing to the intake of clean power and rendering systems resilient to PQ challenges in the supply. Various converter circuits, such as boost, buck, and multi-pulse types, are employed to enhance equipment immunity to power quality problems. [1] The different techniques are classified into three generations according to [2], with the purposes and topologies are all summarized in table 6.

• Mitigation techniques for PQDs Related Work

This topic was reviewed by plenty of researchers, some informative reviews are found in [141],[2], [142], [143], [144], [145] and [146], each review provides detailed insights into specific techniques. For simulation related works, [147] presents DVR as a mitigation solution for voltage sags and swells in the medium and low voltage

distribution grid. [148] is about a combined system to enhance the PQ of MGs, composed of: APF for mitigating harmonic currents at the micro source inverter outlet, and SVC to provide reactive power near the micro-grid load. [149] analyzes an APF implemented with cascaded H-bridge multilevel inverters for medium and high voltage power distribution systems, aiming to compensate for harmonics, re-active power, and improve PF. In [150] Shunt APF (SAPF) is employed for compensation of harmonic disturbances and WT for identification, while Hysteresis Regulator (HBCC) generates gate pulses for the Voltage Source Inverter (VSI) of SAPF. The PI controller parameters are optimized using PSO. [151] presents an adaptive hysteresis control technique for the UPQC. It employs separate adaptive controllers for series and shunt APFs using ANN and Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC). The method reduces voltage sag, swell, and current harmonics. In [152] APF is employed in photovoltaic (PV) renewable energy system to mitigate harmonics, regulate reactive power, and adjust voltage. [166] This work employs phasor measure-ment units and renewable energy systems to mitigate power quality issues, acti-vating renewable energy blocks to generate power during undervoltage or charge batteries during excess power. [167] this work investigates DVR and D-STATCOM mitigation techniques to protect induction motors from power quality disturbances, effectively reducing excessive temperature and rotor vibration torque pulsation. For [153], static VAR compensator, STATCOM and DVR are modeled for the mitigation of PQ events resulting from fault voltage. [154] presents a quasi-Z-Source (qZS) based DVR. The proposed DVR utilizes a multi-constraint model predictive control (MPC) approach to inject compensation voltage in synchrony with the grid during voltage disturbances. [155] utilizes a customized IEEE 16 bus radial distribution system to simulate the performance of CPDs like DVR, AVC, and APC. in addressing voltage sags, harmonic distortions, and voltage disturbances. [156] proposes two systems to enhance wind energy quality. The first integrates wind energy and the grid using a STS, and the second employs a DVR to mitigate fluctuations caused by varying wind speeds. [157] focuses on mitigating PQDs, especially voltage-related problems, using the UPQC controlled by the Unit Vector Template Generation (UVTG) technique. [158] addresses PQ issues in wind energy integration, focusing on harmonics and voltage variations. It proposes a mitigation approach using in-verter-based reactive power compensation. [159] introduces a novel solution, the multi-feeder interline UPQC (MF-IUPQCs), utilizing fuzzy logic for effective miti-gation, where it reduces THD, improves dynamic performance, and maintains a smooth voltage profile. [160] presents a novel approach utilizing Clarke transfor-mation for voltage quality detection and compensation signal extraction in DVR. [161] introduces a novel approach for simultaneous optimization of APFs and ca-pacitors in distribution networks to address harmonic constraints. Using a modified PSO algorithm, the method improves harmonic conditions, network losses, and voltage profiles.

6. Conclusion:

In this comprehensive review, we explored power quality theory, providing detailed insights into the sources and impacts of power quality disturbances (PQDs). We conducted a thorough examination of Digital Signal Processing (DSP) techniques for PQD identification, offering a comparative analysis of various transforms. The review systematically presented related works for each technique, following a chronological order to provide a historical perspective. Additionally, we investigated classification methods based on Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly focusing on Neural Network (NN) techniques. A comprehensive comparison of NN techniques was undertaken, and relevant research in the field was thoroughly ex-amined. Furthermore, our review covered mitigation techniques for PQDs, offering detailed related works for each strategy. Notably, the presented works span the last two decades and are presented in a chronological order, providing a comprehensive overview of power quality research. This review serves as a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners entering this field, offering insights and a foundation for future work in power quality.

Author Contributions Conceptualization, F.Z.D and A. R.; methodology, F.Z.D and B.F.K.; formal analysis, B.F.K.; investigation, A. R.; resources, F.Z.D. and A. R.; data curation, B. F. K.; writing—original draft preparation, B. F. K.; writing—review and editing, A. R. and F.Z. D.; visualization, B. F. K.. and F. Z. D.; supervision, A. R. and F. Z.

D.; project 818 administration, F. Z. D.; funding acquisition, NA.

Funding: No fund was received to conduct this research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1]. Laughton, M.A.; Warne, D.J. Electrical Engineer's Reference Book, 16th ed.; Newnes: Oxford, UK, 2003.

[2]. Yahya Naderi , T. An Overview of Power Quality Enhancement Techniques Applied to Distributed Generation in Electrical Dis-tribution Networks . Journal Abbreviation 2018, 1–28.

[3]. Hossain, E.; Tür, M.R. Analysis and Mitigation of Power Quality Issues in Distributed Generation Systems Using Custom Power Devices. IEEE Access, 2018, p. 16816.

[4]. Biabani, M.A.K.A. Enhancement of Power Quality in Distribution System using D-Statcom. In Proceedings of the Inter-national Conference on Signal Processing, Communication, Power and Embedded System (SCOPES). IEEE, 2016, p.2093.

[5]. ELBAR, M. Power Quality Enhancement in Smart Grid. Phd, ZianeAchour University of Djelfa, Djelfa Algeria, 2022.

[6]. Ga˘s¸pa˘resc, G. Methods of Power Quality Analysis. In; INTECH, 2011; pp. 102–118.

[7]. CHAWDA, G.S.; SHAIK, A.G. Comprehensive Review on Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances in Utili-tyGrid with Renewable Energy Penetration. 2020.. IEEE 2020, 1–24.

[8]. Athmane, L.; Slimane, M.B.T. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using LSTM, Master Degree, University of Boumerdes, Algeria 2022.

[9]. Venkatesh, C. ; D.V.S.S. Siva Sarma, Techniques Used for Detection of Power Quality Events – a Comparative Study . 16th National Power Systems Conference; IEEE, 15th-17th December, 2010.

[10]. Hosseinzadeh, M. Robust Control Applications in Biomedical Engineering: Control of Depth of Hypnosis. 2020. Elsevier 2020,1–37.

[11]. Stockwell, R.G.; Mansinha, L.; Lowe, R.P. Localization of the Complex Spectrum: The S Transform. IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SIGNAL PROCESSING, VOL. 44, NO. 4 1996, 998–1001.

[12]. Samanta, I.S.; Rout, P.K.; Mishra. Power Quality Events Recognition Using S-Transform and Wild Goat Optimiza-tion-Based Extreme Learning Machine. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering 3 December 2019, 1–16.

[13]. Samanta, I.S.; Rout, P.K.; Mishra . Power quality disturbance detection and classification using signal processing and soft computing techniques: A comprehensive review. Wiley 2018, 1–42.

[14]. Suhail Khokhar . Power quality disturbance detection and classification using signal processing and soft computing techniques: A comprehensive review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier 2018, 1–14.

[15]. Yanjun, Y. ; Kai C. A Review on Intelligent Detection and Classification ofPower Quality Disturbances: Trends, Methodologies, and Prospects . CMES 2023, 1–35.

[16]. Heydt, G.T. Applications of the Windowed PFT to Electric Power Quality Assessment.IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery 1999, vol 14, 1411 -1416.

[17]. Ge Beichang, Wu Xiao, Influence Research of Renewable Energy Application on Power Quality Detection. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science; ICRET, 2018.

[18]. Daniele G., Real-Time Digital Multifunction Instrument for Power Quality Integrated Indexes Measurement. IEEE Transac-tion on Instrumentation and measurement December 2008, vol 57, 2769–2776.

[19]. S.A. Deokar; L.M. Waghmare, Integrated DWT–FFT approach for detection and classification of power quality disturbances. Electrical Power and Energy Systems, Elsevier 2014, 594-605.

[20]. Yılmaz, A.; Bayrak, G. Real-Time Disturbance Detection Using STFT Method in Microgrids. 7th International Symposium on Innovative Technologies in Engineering and Science, Turkey, 22-24 November 2019.

[21]. Dash, S.; Subudhi, U. Multiple Power Quality Event Detection and Classification Using Modified S Transform and WOA Tuned SVM Classifier. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Applied Electromagnetics, Signal Processing and Communication (AESPC), India, 22-24 October 2018.

[22]. Kumar, R.; Singh, B. Recognition of Underlying Causes of Power Quality Disturbances Using Stockwell Transform. IEEE Trans. Instrum. Measur., 2019 p.1–10

[23]. Khoa, N.M.; Dai, L.V. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances in Power System Using Modified-combination Between the Stockwell Transform and Decision Tree Methods. Energies, 2020 p.1–30

[24]. ZARO, F.R. Power Quality Disturbances Detection and Classification Rule-based Decision Tree. WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on SIGNAL PROCESSING, 2021 p.1–6

[25]. Pandya, V.; Agarwal, S. Recognition of Power Quality Disturbances Using Hybrid Algorithm Based on Combined Features of Stockwell Transform and Hilbert Transform. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Students' Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science, India, 22-23 February 2020.

[26]. Ravi, T.; Kumar, S.; Dhanamjayulu, C. Utilization of Stockwell Transform and Random Forest Algorithm for Efficient Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances. Hindawi Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2023 p.1–16

[27]. TATIREDDY, R.; KUMAR, S. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Stock Well Transform and Improved Grey

Wolf Optimization-based Kernel Extreme Learning Machine. IEEE Access, 10 June 2023 p.1–18.
[28]. Hussein, H.I.; Alazawi, A.; Rodríguez, A.; Muñoz, F. Performance Evaluation of St-based Methods for Simulating and Analyzing Power Quality Disturbances. Sciendo, 2023 p.1–13.
[29]. Cai, Z.; Ning, F. Power Quality Signal Analysis for the Smart Grid Using the Hilbert-huang Transform. In Proceedings of the IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Communications, Computers and Signal Processing (PACRIM); IEEE: Canada, October 10 2013; p.1–6.
[30]. Alshahrani, S.; Abbod, M.; Taylor, G. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Based on Hilbert-huang Transform and Feed Forward Neural Networks. In Proceedings of the 51st International Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC); IEEE: Portugal, November 20 2017; p.1–6
[31]. Kiruthiga, B.; Narmatha Banu R.; Devaraj, D. Detection and classification of power quality disturbances or events by adaptive NFS classifier. Soft Computing, Springer, 2020 p.10351–10362.
[32]. Das, D.; Chakravorti, T. Hilbert Huang Transform with Fuzzy Rules for Feature Selection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances. In Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Uttar Pradesh Section International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Electronics (UPCON); IEEE, October 26 2017; p.1–7
[33]. A Classification Method for Power-Quality Disturbances Using Hilbert–Huang Transform and LSTM Recurrent Neural Networks. Journal of Elec. Engineering Tech. Springer, 27 November 2020 p.1–18.
[34]. Pardo-Zamora, O.N.; Romero-Troncoso, R. de J. Power Quality Disturbance Tracking Based on a Proprietary FPGA Sensor with GPS Synchronization. Sensors, MDPI, 2021 p.1–21.
[35]. M. Sahani; P. K. Dash, FPGA-Based Online Power Quality Disturbances Monitoring Using Reduced-Sample HHT and Class-Specific Weighted RVFLN, IEEE Trans. on Indust. Info, 2018 p.4614–4623.
[36]. Moravej, Z.; Pazoki, M. A Hybrid Intelligence Approach for Power Quality Disturbances Detection and Classification. Euro. Trans. Electr. Power, WILEY, 2012 p.1–16
[37]. Naderian, S.; Saleminia, A. Detection and Classification of Power-quality Events Using Discrete Gabor Transform and Support Vector Machine. In Proceedings of the 6th International Power Electronics Drive Systems and Technologies Conference (PEDSTC2015); IEEE, May 16 2015; p.544–549.
[38]. Basir, M. Window Optimisation of Power Quality Signal Detection Using Gabor Transform. ASM Science Journal, 2021 p.1–10.
[39]. Reddy, J.B.V.; Dash, P.K.; Samantaray, R. Fast Tracking of Power Quality Disturbance Signals Using an Optimized Unscented Filter. IEEE Trans. on Instrum. and measur., 2009 p.1–10.
[40]. Carniato, A.A.; Godoy, R.B.; Pinto, J.O.P. Development of Hardware and Software for Three-phase Power Quality Disturbances Detection, Classification, and Diagnosis Using Kalman Filter Theory. IEEE, 2009 p.1–7.
[41]. Sundaram, P.K.; Neela, R. Assessment of Power Quality Disturbances in the Distribution System Using Kalman Filter and Fuzzy Expert System. American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER), 2016 p.192–204.
[42]. Sundaram, P.K.; Neela, R. Analysis of Power Quality Disturbances Based on Kalman Filter and MLP Neural Network. American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER), 2016 p.5676–5687.
[43]. Kalyana Sundaram, P.; Girishkumar, M. Power Quality Disturbance Classification Based on Kalman Filter and Adaptive Neural Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS). In Proceedings of the International Conference on Robotics and Artificial Intelligence (RoAI); IOP, 2020, p.1–13.
[44]. Reddya, K.R.M.; Reddy, P.R.K.K. Power Quality Classification of Disturbances Using Discrete Wavelet Packet Transform (DWPT) with Adaptive Neuro-fuzzy System. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 2021 p.4892–4903.
[45]. Abdelsalam, A.A. A Generalized Approach for Power Quality Disturbances Recognition Based on Kalman Filter. IEEE Access, 2021, p.1–18.
[46]. He, H.; Wang, L. An Identification Method of Power Quality Disturbances Using Kalman Filter and Extreme Learning Machine. In Proceedings of the IEEE 5th Advanced Information Management, Communicates, Electronic and Automation Control Conference (IMCEC); IEEE, December 2022.
[47]. Xi, Y.; Li, X. Classification of Multiple Power Quality Disturbances Based on Continuous Wavelet Transform and Lightweight Convolutional Neural Network. WILEY, 2023, 1–18.
[48]. Godse, R.; Bhat, S. Mathematical Morphology-Based Feature-Extraction Technique for Detection and Classification of Faults on Power Transmission Line. IEEE Access, 2020, 1–13.
[49]. Xue, C.; Hui-jin, L.; Quan-ming, Z. Power Quality Disturbances Detection and Location Using Mathematical Morphology and Complex Wavelet Transformation. In Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications; IEEE, Singapore, 03-05 June 2008.
[50]. Huang, Y.; Liu, Y. Detection and Location of Power Quality Disturbances Based on Mathematical Morphology and Hilbert-huang Transform. In Proceedings of The Ninth International Conference on Electronic Measurement & Instruments; Beijing, China, 16-19 August 2009.
[51]. Gautam, S.; Brahma, S.M. Guidelines for Selection of an Optimal Structuring Element for Mathematical Morphology Based Tools to Detect Power System Disturbances. In Proceedings of the IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting; IEEE, San Diego, CA, USA, 22-26 July 2012.
[52]. Zhang, Y.; Ji, T.Y. Detection and Classification of Low-frequency Power

Disturbances Using a Morphological Max-lifting Scheme. In Proceedings of the IEEE PES Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC); IEEE, Hong Kong, China, 08-11 December 2013.
[53]. Chen, Y.; Ji, T.Y. Power Quality Disturbance Detection Based on Morphology Singular Entropy. In Proceedings of the IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies - Asia (ISGT ASIA) Conference; IEEE, Bangkok, Thailand, 03-06 November 2015.
[54]. Chen, Z.M.; Li, M.S.; Ji, T.Y. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances in Time Domain Using Probabilistic Neural Network. In Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN); IEEE, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 24-29 July 2016.
[55]. Sekar, K.; Kumar, S. Power Quality Disturbance Detection Using Machine Learning Algorithm. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Advances and Developments in Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ICADEE); IEEE, Coimbatore, India, 10-11 December 2020.
[56]. Sharkawy, A.-N. Principle of Neural Network and Its Main Types: Review. Journal of Advances in Applied Computational Mathematics, 2020, 9–12.
[57]. Choong, F.; Reaz, M. FPGA Realization of Power Quality Disturbance Detection: An Approach with Wavelet, ANN and Fuzzy Logic. In Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks; IEEE, August 4, 2005.
[58]. Devaraj, D.; Radhika, P. Power Quality Monitoring Using Wavelet Transform and Artificial Neural Networks. In Proceedings of the India International Conference on Power Electronics; IEEE, Chennai, India, 19-21 December 2006.
[59]. Monedero, I.; León, C. Classification of Electrical Disturbances in Real Time Using Neural Networks. IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery, 3, July 2007, p.1–9.
[60]. Devaraj, D.; Jayasree. Classification of Power Quality Disturbance Signals Using FFT, STFT, Wavelet Transforms, and Neural Networks – A Comparative Analysis. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications (ICCIMA 2007), Sivakasi, India, 13–15 December 2007, p. 335–337.
[61]. Bhende, C.N.; Mishra, S.; Panigrahi, B.K. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using S-Transform and Modular Neural Network. Electr. Power Syst. Res, Elsevier, 2008, 122–128.
[62]. Jayasree, T.; Devaraj, D.; Sukanesh, R. Power Quality Disturbance Classification Using S-Transform and Radial Basis Network. Appl. Artif. Intell., 2009, 680–693.
[63]. Chandrasekar, P.; Kamaraj, V. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbance Waveform Using MRA Based Modified Wavelet Transform and Neural Networks. J. Electr. Eng., 2010, 61, 235–240.
[64]. Ipinnimo, O.; Chowdhury, S. ANN-based Classification System for Different Windows of Voltage Dips in a Power Network. In Proceedings of the 2013 48th International Universities' Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), IEEE, 2013.
[65]. Chakraborty, S.; Chatterjee, A.; Goswami, S.K. A Dual-Tree Complex Wavelet Transform-Based Approach for Recognition of Power System Transients. Exper. Syst., 2014, p.1–9.
[66]. Khadse, C.B.; Chaudhari, M.A.; Borghate, V.B. Conjugate Gradient Back-Propagation Based Artificial Neural Network for Real-Time Power Quality Assessment. Electr. Power Energy Syst., Elsevier, 2016, 82, pp. 197–206.
[67]. Bhavani, R.; Rathina Prabha, N. A Hybrid Classifier for Power Quality (PQ) Problems Using Wavelets Packet Transform (WPT) and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Techniques in Control, Optimization and Signal Processing, IEEE, Srivilliputtur, India, 2017.
[68]. Martinez-Figueroa, G.J.; Morinigo-Sotelo, D. FPGA-Based Smart Sensor for Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Higher Order Statistics. IEEE Access, 2017.
[69]. Wang, S.; Chen, H. A Novel Deep Learning Method for the Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Deep Convolutional Neural Network. Appl. Energy, 2019, pp. 1126–1140.
[70]. Qiu, W.; Tang, Q.; Liu, J.; Yao, W. An Automatic Identification Framework for Complex Power Quality Disturbances Based on Multifusion Convolutional Neural Network. IEEE Trans. Ind., 2020, p.1–9.
[71]. Wang, M.; Deng, Z. An Automatic Identification Framework for Complex Power Quality Disturbances Based on Ensemble CNN. IEEE Access, 2023, p. 1–9.
[72]. Xiao, X.; Li, K. Multi-label Classification for Power Quality Disturbances by Integrated Deep Learning. IEEE Access, 2021, pp. 1–11.
[73]. Cai, J.; Zhang, K.; Jiang, H. Power Quality Disturbance Classification Based on Parallel Fusion of CNN and GRU. Energies, 2020, pp. 1–12.
[74]. Topaloglu, I. Deep Learning-Based New Approach for Power Quality Disturbances Classification in Power Transmission System. J. Electr. Eng. Technol., 2023, pp. 77–88.
[75]. El-Saadawi, M.; Hatata, A. A Novel Protection Scheme for Synchronous Generator Stator Windings Based on SVM. Prot. Control Mod. Power Syst., Springer, 2017, pp. 1–12.
[76]. Eris¸ti, H.; Uçar, A.; Demir, Y. Wavelet-Based Feature Extraction and Selection for Classification of Power System Disturbances Using Support Vector Machines. Electr. Power Syst. Res., Elsevier, 2010, pp. 743–752.
[77]. Zhang, M. Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Wavelet Packet Energy and Multiclass Support Vector Machine. COMPEL, Emerald, 2012, 31, pp. 424–435.
[78]. Taskovski, D.; Milchevski, A.; Kostadinov, D. Classification of Power Quality Disturbances

Using Wavelets and Support Vector Machine. Elektron. Elektrotech., 2013, pp. 25–30.
[79]. Liu, G.; Wen, G.; Ning, S. Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Based on Independent Component Analysis and Support Vector Machine. In Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Wavelet Analysis and Pattern Recognition; IEEE, Tianjin, China, 14–17 July 2013.
[80]. Ray, P.K.; Mohanty, S.R.; Kishor, N. Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Due to Environmental Characteristics in Distributed Generation System. IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy, 2013, pp. 210–217.
[81]. Ray, P.K.; Mohanty, S.R.; Kishor, N.; Catalão, J.P.S. Optimal Feature and Decision Tree-Based Classification of Power Quality Disturbances in Distributed Generation Systems. IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy, 2014, pp. 200–208.
[82]. Aneesh, C. Performance Comparison of Variational Mode Decomposition over Empirical Wavelet Transform for the Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Support Vector Machine. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies (ICICT 2014); Procedia Comput. Sci., Elsevier, 2015, pp. 372–380.
[83]. Liu, Z.; Cui, Y.; Li, W. A Classification Method for Complex Power Quality Disturbances Using EEMD and Rank Wavelet SVM. IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, 2015, pp. 1678–1685.
[84]. Li, J.; Teng, Z.; Tang, Q.; Song, J. Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Double Resolution S-Transform and DAG-SVMs. IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas., 2016, pp. 1–11.
[85]. Rahmani, A.; Slimani, L.; Bouktir, T. Unbalanced Load Flow with Hybrid Wavelet Transform and Support Vector Machine-Based Error-Correcting Output Codes for Power Quality Disturbances Classification Including Wind Energy. Electr. Eng. Electromech., 2019, 6, pp. 1–15.
[86]. Gao, Q.; Pan, F. The Classification of Multiple Power Quality Disturbances Based on Dynamic Event Tree and Support Vector Machine. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Machine Learning Technologies and Applications (AMLTA 2019); Springer, 28–30 March 2019, pp. 321–329.
[87]. Kute, U.T.; Patil, V.N. Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using S-Transform and Support Vector Machine. Int. J. Electr. Eng. Technol., 2020, 11, pp. 423–433.
[88]. Mengistu, E.; Khan, B. Utilization of Stockwell Transform, Support Vector Machine, and D-STATCOM for the Identification, Classification, and Mitigation of Power Quality Problems. Sustainability, MDPI, 2023, pp. 1–21.
[89]. Negnevitsky, M.; Ringrose, M. A Neuro-Fuzzy System for Recognition of Power Quality Disturbances. In Proceedings of the IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting; San Francisco, CA, USA, 16 June 2005; IEEE, pp. 1–5.
[90]. Biswas, S.; Bordoloi, M. Review on Feature Selection and Classification Using Neuro-Fuzzy Approaches. Int. J. Appl. Evol. Comput., 2016, pp. 28–44.
[91]. Vieira, J.; Morgado-Dias, F.; Mota, A. Neuro-Fuzzy Systems: A Survey. In Proceedings of the 5th WSEAS NNA International Conference on Neural Networks and Applications; Udine, Italy, March 2004.
[92]. Liao, C.-C.; Yang, H.-T. Recognizing Noise-Influenced Power Quality Events With Integrated Feature Extraction and Neuro-Fuzzy Network. IEEE Trans. Power Deliv., 2009, pp. 2132–2141.
[93]. Nguyen, T.; Liao, Y. Power Quality Disturbance Classification Based on Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy System. Int. J. Emerg. Electr. Power Syst., 2009, pp. 1–22.
[94]. Patnaik, R.K.; Dash, P.K. Impact of Wind Farms on Disturbance Detection and Classification in Distributed Generation Using Modified Adaline Network and an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Information System. Appl. Soft Comput., Elsevier, 2015, 11, pp. 549–566.
[95]. Alkhraijah, M.M.; Abido, M.A. Power Quality Classification Using Neuro-Fuzzy Logic Inference System. In Proceedings of the 2017 9th IEEE-GCC Conference and Exhibition (GCCCE); Manama, Bahrain, 8–11 May 2017.
[96]. Okelola, M.O.; Olabode, O.E. Application of Root Mean Square and Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System for Power Quality Events Classification Using Electrical and Electronics Home Appliances as Case Study. IOSR J. Electr. Electron. Eng., 2018, pp. 15–23.
[97]. Pires, V.F.; Amaral, T.G.; Martins, J.F. Power Quality Disturbances Classification Using the 3-D Space Representation and PCA-Based Neuro-Fuzzy Approach. Expert Syst. Appl., Elsevier, 2011, pp. 11911–11917.
[98]. Gursoy, M.I.; Ustun, S.V.; Yilmaz, A.S. An Efficient DWT and EWT Feature Extraction Methods for Classification of Real Data PQ Disturbances. Int. J. Eng. Res. Dev., 2018, pp. 1–7.
[99]. Nayak, R.K.; Mishra, B.S.P.; Mohanty, J. An Overview of GA and PGA. Int. J. Comput. Appl., 2017, 178(6), pp. 7.
[100]. 100. Jaen-Cuellar, A.Y.; Morales-Velazquez, L. *Micro-Genetic Algorithms for Detecting and Classifying Electric Power Disturbances*. **Neural Comput. Appl.** Springer, 2016, pp. 1–3.
[101]. Li, T.; Shao, G.; Zuo, W.; Huang, S. *Genetic Algorithm for Building Optimization: State-of-the-Art Survey*. In Proceedings of the **9th International Conference on Machine Learning and Computing (ICMLC ’17)**, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, 7–9 February 2017, pp. 205–206.
[102]. Panigrahi, B.K.; Pandi, V.R. *Optimal Feature Selection for Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Wavelet Packet-Based Fuzzy K-Nearest Neighbour Algorithm*. **IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.** 2009, pp. 296–306.

[103]. Manimala, K.; Selvi, K.; Ahila, R. *Optimal Feature Set and Parameter Selection for Power Quality Data Mining*. **Appl. Artif. Intell.** 2012, 26, pp. 204–228.
[104]. Singh, U.; Singh, S.N. *Optimal Feature Selection via NSGA-II for Power Quality Disturbances Classification*. **IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.** 2018, 14, pp. 1–9.
[105]. Romero-Ramirez, L.A.; Elvira-Ortiz, D.A.; Jaen-Cuellar, A.Y. *Methodology Based on Higher-Order Statistics and Genetic Algorithms for the Classification of Power Quality Disturbances*. **IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.** 2020, pp. 4580–4592.
[106]. Bravo-Rodríguez, J.C.; Torres, F.J.; Borrás, M.D. *Hybrid Machine Learning Models for Classifying Power Quality Disturbances: A Comparative Study*. **Energies**, MDPI, 2020, pp. 1–20.
[107]. Rahul; Choudhary, B. *An Advanced Genetic Algorithm with Improved Support Vector Machine for Multi-Class Classification of Real Power Quality Events*. **Electr. Power Syst. Res.** 2021, pp. 1–11.
[108]. Jain, M.; Saihjpal, V.; Singh, N.; Singh, S.B. *An Overview of Variants and Advancements of PSO Algorithm*. **Appl. Sci.**, MDPI, 2022, pp. 1–21.
[109]. Saini, M.K.; Kapoor, R. *Classification of Power Quality Events – A Review*. **Electr. Power Energy Syst.**, Elsevier, 2012, pp. 11–19.
[110]. Biswal, B.; Dash, P.K.; Panigrahi, B.K. *Power Quality Disturbance Classification Using Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm and Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization*. **IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.** 2009, pp. 1–10.
[111]. Hooshmand, R.; Enshaee, A. *Detection and Classification of Single and Combined Power Quality Disturbances Using Fuzzy Systems Oriented by Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm*. **Electr. Power Syst. Res.**, Elsevier, 2010, pp. 1552–1561.
[112]. Pahasa, J. *PSO-Based Kernel Principal Component Analysis and Multi-Class Support Vector Machine for Power Quality Problem Classification*. **Int. J. Innov. Comput. Inf. Control**, 2012, 8, pp. 1523–1539.
[113]. Kanirajan, P.; Suresh Kumar, V. *Wavelet-Based Power Quality Disturbances Detection and Classification Using RBFNN and Fuzzy Logic*. **Int. J. Fuzzy Syst.**, Springer, 2015.
[114]. Huang, N.; Zhang, S.; Cai, G.; Xu, D. *Power Quality Disturbances Recognition Based on a Multiresolution Generalized S-Transform and a PSO-Improved Decision Tree*. **Energies**, MDPI, pp. 549–572.
[115]. Ahila, R.; Sadasivam, V.; Manimala, K. *An Integrated PSO for Parameter Determination and Feature Selection of ELM and Its Application in Classification of Power System Disturbances*. **Appl. Soft Comput.**, Elsevier, 2015, pp. 23–37
[116]. Ma, J.; Zhang, J.; Xiao, L.; Chen, K.; Wu, J. *Classification of Power Quality Disturbances via Deep Learning*. **IETE Technical Review**, 2016, pp. 1–9.
[117]. Liquan, Z.; Meijiao, G.; Lin, W. *Classification of Multiple Power Quality Disturbances Based on the Improved SVM*. In Proceedings of the **IEEE WiSPNET 2017 Conference**, Chennai, India, 22–24 March 2017, pp. 2625–2628.
[118]. Rodriguez-Guerrero, M.A.; Jaen-Cuellar, A.Y. *Hybrid Approach Based on GA and PSO for Parameter Estimation of a Full Power Quality Disturbance Parameterized Model*. **IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.** 2016, pp. 1016–1024.
[119]. Zhao, L.; Gai, M.; Jia, Y. *Classification of Multiple Power Quality Disturbances Based on PSO-SVM of Hybrid Kernel Function*. **J. Inf. Hiding Multimed. Signal Process.** 2019, pp. 138–146.
[120]. Zhao, L.; Long, Y. *An Improved PSO Algorithm for the Classification of Multiple Power Quality Disturbances*. **J. Inf. Process. Syst.**, 2019, 15, pp. 116–126.
[121]. Wang, J.; Xu, Z.; Che, Y. *Power Quality Disturbance Classification Based on DWT and Multilayer Perceptron Extreme Learning Machine*. **Appl. Sci.**, MDPI, 2019, pp. 1–16.
[122]. Chamchuen, S.; Siritaratiwat, A.; Fuangfoo, P.; Suthisopapan, P.; Khunkitti, P. *High-Accuracy Power Quality Disturbance Classification Using the Adaptive ABC-PSO As Optimal Feature Selection Algorithm*. **Energies**, MDPI, 2020, pp. 1–18.
[123]. Kanirajan, P. *RBFNN-Based Power Quality Issues Detection and Classification Using Wavelet-PSO*. **Int. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.** 2023, pp. 116–122.
[124]. Biswal, B.; Dash, P.K.; Mishra, S. *A Hybrid Ant Colony Optimization Technique for Power Signal Pattern Classification*. **Expert Syst. Appl.**, Elsevier, 2011, pp. 6368–6375.
[125]. Singh, U.; Singh, S.N. *A New Optimal Feature Selection Scheme for Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Based on Ant Colony Framework*. **Appl. Soft Comput.**, 2018, pp. 1–22.
[126]. Omran, S.; El Houby, E.M.F. *Prediction of Electrical Power Disturbances Using Machine Learning Techniques*. **J. Ambient Intell. Humaniz. Comput.**, Springer, 2019, pp. 1–17.
[127]. Paniri, M.; Dowlatshahi, M.B.; Nezamabadi-Pour, H. *MLACO: A Multi-label Feature Selection Algorithm Based on Ant Colony Optimization*. **Knowledge-Based Systems**, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 1–40.
[128]. Abu-Mouti, F.S.; El-Hawary, M.E. *Overview of Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) Algorithm and Its Applications*. In Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE International Systems Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 19-23 March 2012, pp. 1-6.
[129]. Khokhar, S. *Automatic Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using Optimal Feature Selection Based Algorithm*. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, June 2016.
[130]. Wang, R.; Wang, H.; Wang, L. *Feature Selection of Complex Power Quality Disturbances and Parameter Optimization of Random Forest*.

In Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Intelligent Green Building and Smart Grid (IGBSG2019) IEEE, Yichang, China, 6-9 September 2019, pp. 384-387.
[131]. Dawood, Z.; Babulal, C.K. *Power Quality Disturbance Classification Based on Efficient Adaptive Arrhenius Artificial Bee Colony Feature Selection*. **J. Energy Eng.**, Wiley 2021, pp. 1-26.
[132]. Qu, L.-P.; He, C.-L.; Zhang, J.; Gao, T.-L. *Recognition of Power Quality Disturbance Based on Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm to Optimize Kernel Extreme Learning Machine*. In Proceedings of the 2021 20th International Symposium on Distributed Computing and Applications for Business Engineering and Science (DCABES), IEEE, Nanning, China, 10-12 December 2021.
[133]. Hossain, E.; Tür, M.R. *Analysis and Mitigation of Power Quality Issues in Distributed Generation Systems Using Custom Power Devices*. **IEEE Access**, 2018, pp. 16816-16830.
[134]. Bajaj, M.; Singh, A.K. *Grid Integrated Renewable DG Systems: A Review of Power Quality Challenges and State-of-the-Art Mitigation Techniques*. **Int. J. Energy Res.**, 2019, pp. 1–44.
[135]. Ravi, T.; Kumar, K.S. *Analysis, Monitoring, and Mitigation of Power Quality Disturbances in a Distributed Generation System*. **Front. Energy Res.**, 2022, pp. 1–31.
[136]. Shah, S.K.H.; Hellany, A. *Novel Approach to Monitoring and Mitigating Power Quality Disturbances in Hybrid Renewable Energy System*. In Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ'22), Renewable Energy and Power Quality Journal (RE&PQJ), Vigo, Spain, September 2022.
[137]. Latran, M.B.; Teke, A.; Yoldas, Y. *Mitigation of Power Quality Problems Using Distribution Static Synchronous Compensator: A Comprehensive Review*. **IET Power Electron.**, pp. 1-17.
[138]. Tareen, W.U.K.; Aamir, M.; Mekhilef, S. *Mitigation of Power Quality Issues Due to High Penetration of Renewable Energy Sources in Electric Grid Systems Using Three-Phase APF/STATCOM Technologies: A Review*. **Energies**, pp. 1-41.
[139]. Benachaiba, C.; Ferdi, B. *Power Quality Improvement Using DVR*. **Am. J. Appl. Sci.**, 2009, pp. 396-400.
[140]. Dong, T.; Li, L.; Ma, Z. *A Combined System of APF and SVC for Power Quality Improvement in Microgrid*. In Proceedings of the 2012 Power Engineering and Automation Conference, Wuhan, China, 18–20 September 2012; IEEE, 2012; pp. 1–4.
[141]. Lakshmi Ganesh, K.; Satyanarayana, G.; Narendra Kumar, C.; Srinivasa Rao, N. *Power Quality Improvement by Using 7-Level Multi-string APF Interfacing to Distribution Generation System*. **IJEA**, 2013, pp. 38-43.
[142]. Aditya, G.; Babu, B.M. *Identification and Mitigation of Power Quality Disturbances by Shunt Active Power Filter Using Particle Swarm Optimized - Wavelet Transform Technique*. **Int. J. Eng. Res. Technol.**, 2014, pp. 501-507.
[143]. Manivasagam, R.; Dharmalingam, V. *Power Quality Problem Mitigation by Unified Power Quality Conditioner: An Adaptive Hysteresis Control Technique*. **Int. J. Power Electron.**, 2014, pp. 403-423.
[144]. Moftah, M.A.; El-Saady, G.; Ibrahim, E.N.A. *Active Power Filter for Power Quality Enhancement of Photovoltaic Renewable Energy Systems*. In Proceedings of the 2016 Saudi Arabia Smart Grid, IEEE Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, 6-8 December 2016, pp. 1-9.
[145]. Liao, H.; Milanović, J.V. *On Capability of Different FACTS Devices to Mitigate a Range of Power Quality Phenomena*. **IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.**, 2020, pp. 1202-1211.
[146]. Trabelsi, M.; Kakosimos, P. *Mitigation of Grid Voltage Disturbances Using Quasi-Z-Source Based Dynamic Voltage Restorer*. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE 12th International Conference on Compatibility, Power Electronics and Power Engineering (CPE-POWERENG 2018), Doha, Qatar, 10-12 April 2018, pp. 1-6.
[147]. Kumar, B.S.; Praveena, S. *Power Quality Improvement Using Custom Power Devices (AVC, DVR, APC)*. Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Current Trends toward Converging Technologies, Coimbatore, India, 1-3 March 2018, pp. 1-5.
[148]. Agalar, S.; Kaplan, Y.A. *Power Quality Improvement Using STS and DVR in Wind Energy System*. **Renewable Energy**, 2017, pp. 1-15.
[149]. Ray, P.K.; Dash, S.K.; Subudhi, B.; Korkua, S.K. *Mitigation of Power Quality Issues Using UPQC*. **Int. J. Emerg. Electr. Power Syst.**, 2020, pp. 1-12.
[150]. Almohaimeed, S.A. *Power Quality Issues and Mitigation for Electric Grids with Wind Power Penetration*. **Appl. Sci.**, 2020, pp. 1-24.
[151]. Ravi, T.R.; Kumar, K.S. *Analysis and Mitigation of PQ Disturbances in Grid Connected System Using Fuzzy Logic Based IUPQC*. **Scientific Reports**, 2023, pp. 1-33.
[152]. Saribulut, L.; Ameen, A. *Voltage Sag Detection and Compensation Signal Extraction for Power Quality Mitigation Devices*. **Energies**, 2023, pp. 1-15.
[153]. Rezapour, H.; Fathnia, F.; Fiuzy, M. *Enhancing Power Quality and Loss Optimization in Distorted Distribution Networks Utilizing Capacitors and Active Power Filters: A Simultaneous Approach*. **Electrical Power and Energy Systems**, 2024, pp. 1-17.
[154]. Keebler, P.F. *Meshing Power Quality and Electromagnetic Compatibility for Tomorrow's Smart Grid*. **IEEE Electromagnetic Compatibility Magazine**, 2012, p. 100.
[155]. Dekhandj, F.Z. *Detection of Power Quality Disturbances Using Discrete Wavelet Transform*. In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering –

Boumerdes (ICEE-B), Boumerdes, Algeria, 29–31 October 2017, p. 1-5.
[156]. Dekhandji, F.Z.; Recioui, A. *Detection and Classification of Power Quality Disturbances Using LSTM*. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Computational Engineering and Intelligent Systems, MDPI, 18–20 November 2022, pp. 1-7.
[157]. Dekhandji, F.Z.; Talhaoui, S.; Arkab, Y. *Power Quality Detection, Classification and Monitoring Using LABVIEW*. **Algerian Journal of Signals and Systems**, 2019, pp. 101-111.
[158]. Dekhandji, F.Z. *Detection and Mitigation of Power Quality Problems Based on Phasor Measurement Units and Renewable Energy Systems*. **Int. J. Comput. Exp. Sci. Eng.**, 2016, pp. 10-13.
[159]. Dekhandji, F.Z.; Douche, M.; Zebidi, N. *DVR and D-STATCOM Mitigation Techniques of Power Quality Effects on Induction Motors*. **Algerian Journal of Signals and Systems**, 2017, pp. 110-129.
[160]. Dugan, R.C. *Electrical Power Systems Quality*; 2nd ed.; McGraw Hill, 2004, p. 1.

APPENDIX:

Table 3. PQ Disturbances, Sources, and Effects [1], [167].

PQ Disturbances	Sources	Effects
Transients	• **External**: Lightning strike- Opening and closing of energized lines- Breaker opening and closing • **Internal:** Switching operation- High resistance fault- Capacitor bank switching	Components failure, Hardware reboot required, Softwareproblems, Product quality
Harmonics	• High voltage converters • Fast switching power electronic devices (rectifiers, inverters, etc.) • Conventional source (transformers, electrical rotating machines, etc.) • Non-linear loads • Modern electronic devices (Arc furnace, UPS, PC, TV,etc.)	Transformer and neutral conductor heating leading to reduction of life span, Audio Hum, Software glitches, Power supplyfailure
Noise	• Electromagnetic interference • Radio frequency interference • Ignition systems	Troubles of sensitive electronic equipment, Loss of data, Error in data processing
Sag	• Faults • Increased load demand • Transitional events such as large motor starting	Machine/Process downtime, Product quality, Repair costs, Clean-up costs
Swell	• System faults • Load switching • Capacitor switching	Equipment does not operate correctly, Production rates fluctuate, Relays and contactors drop out
Interruption	• System faults • System equipment failures • Control and protection malfunctions	Loss of supply in customer equipment, Motor tripping, Computer shut down
Flicker	• Large starting currents	Visual irritation
PQVariation	• Faults on the bulk power transmission system • Large block of load being disconnected • Large source of generation going off-line	Decrease in motors speed, De-tuning of harmonic filters
Voltage Imbalance	• Unbalanced loads/impedances	Overheating motors/generators, Interruption of 3-phase operations

Table 4. Comparison between Transforms

Transform	Advantages	Disadvantages
FT	• Good frequency resolution	• When transforming the signal to the frequency domain, time information is ignored [15]. • Bad resolution of time • Cannot show transformation in real-time and has a small window.[16]
DFT	• Good frequency resolution • Apt for the stationary power quality events[14]	• Not suitable in recognizing sudden variations in PQ events such as where they start and end. [15] • A poor resolution of time
FFT	• In less time, it provides the exact same outcome as the DFT. [15] Typically employed for PQ disturbances harmonic analysis [15]	• The amplitudes, frequencies and phases of signals cannot be accurately obtained [14].
STFT	• Uses a moving window to determine the sinusoidal frequency and phase contents of local signal sections [15]. • Excellent temporal resolution	• It is challenging to analyze non-stationary signals due to the moving window [14]. • constrained resolution of frequency
WT	• Appropriate for the analysis of signals time-frequency resolution [15] • The detail and approximation coefficients allow for the extraction of statistical parameters, making it useful in PQD classification. [16]	• Noise has a significant impact. [14] • A significant computational burden arises from higher signal decomposition levels
ST	• Improve the signal's time- frequency representation [15]. • High noise tolerance and satisfactory pattern recognition are assured. [15] • Because it uses Gaussian windows and is noise-insensitive, it has comparatively more applicability in real-world circumstances.	• Compared to other methods, this approach has a longer time for computation.[14]
HHT	• Gives instantaneous frequencies of non-stationary signals.[15]	• Poor capacity to differentiate between various narrow band signals components.[14]
GT	• Useful for precise phasor estimation and offers good signal time-frequency information [14]. • Suitable for measuring and analyzing • transient disturbances with short duration.	• The sampling frequency impacts the computational load directly [14].

Table 5. Comparison of training methods and learning algorithms [63]

Category	Training Methods	Learning Algorithms	Advantages	Disadvantages
MLFFNN	Batch Learning, Online Learning	Backpropagation, Levenberg-Marquardt	Basic composition, easy to apply, efficient in a range of scenarios, superior classification outcomes may be obtained with more effective networks than RBF networks	Training procedurerequires a highnumber ofinput andtarget pairs
RNN	Epochwise training, Continuous Training	Backpropagation Through time (BPTT),Real-time recurrent learning (RTRL)	Computationallystrong, useful in avariety of temporal processing modelsand applications,approximatesarbitrary nonlinear dynamical systems	Challenging tocorrectly train,networkstability isdifficult to determine
RBF	—	—	Operates morequickly, suggestedfor functionapproximationissues, outperforms MLFFNNs in robustness andtolerance with noisy input data sets	More neuronsin the hidden layercomplicate thenetwork, unable tosimulate strongnonlinear systems
Generalized RNN	—	—	Enhances learning,Lessenscomputationalcomplexity, usefulfor estimatingcontinuousquantities,functions well innoisy environments	—

Table 6: Comparison of Power Quality Mitigation Techniques

Generation [2]	Mitigation Technique	Purpose	Structure [142]
I	Passive Filters [2]	Current harmonics elimination- Reactive power compensation	Combination of capacitors and inductances (series or parallel)
	Active Power Filters [2]	Harmonics reduction, Improvement and compensation of PF Unbalances and flicker compensation- voltage regulation	Different topologies in [141] (Shunt, series, and Hybrid APFs)
II (CPDs)	Dynamic Voltage Restorer (DVR)	Source-side voltage sags/swells mitigation [4] Voltage regulation, flicker [7]	Energy storage, DC link, converter, filter, injection trans former, bypass, and disconnection equipment [5]
	Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR)	Maintains the output voltage at a sufficient level [8]	Amplifier, exciter, generator, sensor, and comparator [8]
	STATCOM	Reactive power compensation [2], Suitable for transient, voltage fluctuation/flicker Damping oscillation [7]	Voltage Source Converter (VSC) Fixed Inductor or Power Transformer Coupling Transformer, DC Energy Storage
	Static Transfer Switch (STS)	Mitigate Interruptions and voltage dip [2]	Circuits for control, circuits of measurement of voltage and current, thyristor, breaker, and bus assembly [4]
	Static VAR Compensator (SVC)	Suitable for Flicker and unsymmetrical loads [7]	Thyristor controlled reactor (TCR), thyristor switched capacitor (TSC), Capacitors and inductors [2]
	Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS)	For Emergency power shortage [7] PF correction [2]	Rectifier, UPS Batteries, Inverter Static Bypass Switch
III	Smart Impedance	Compensate harmonic current, harmonic unbalances, Improve QF, tuned factor and displacement PF, Improving voltage regulation [8]	Capacitor bank, active impedance, and suitable control approach [142]
	Multifunctional DGs	Reactive power and voltage control, supply of reserves, voltage regulation and stability [146]	Depends on the application, refer to [146]